Role of Cancer Emboli as a Metastatic Core on the Growth of Brain Metastasis in Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
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Objective
Brain metastasis (BM) was a common complication of patient with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and associated with a poor prognosis. The study was to evaluate the effect of cerebral infarction (CI) which was originated from cancer emboli on the risk of BM in NSCLC for preventive therapy strategy.

Methods
Three hundred seven patients with newly diagnosed NSCLC in our institute from July 2013 to July 2018 were retrospectively analyzed. The diagnostic criteria of CI refereed to Updated Criteria for Population-Based Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack Incidence Studies for the 21st Century. Depending on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), the patients were divided into the BM group and control group (without BM). Then, the prevalence of CI and baseline clinicopathological parameters were evaluated and compared between the two groups.

Results
Of the 307 patients, 204 patients (66.4\%) had CI and 52 patients (16.9\%) had BM. Especially, the prevalence of CI in the NSCLC patients with BM was 84.6\%, which was significantly higher than that of 62.7\% in the NSCLC patients without BM ($p = 0.002$). Following univariate logistic regression analysis and the multivariate model, the results demonstrated that CI was a significant independent risk factor for BM in NSCLC (odds rate, 3.303; 95\% confidence interval, 1.437-7.593; $p = 0.005$). What's more, CI contributed to a worse prognosis in NSCLC patients with BM. Dynamical trace confirmed CI could promote BM in NSCLC patient.

Conclusions
CI could be associated with a metastatic tropism to the brain and then with an increased risk of BM in NSCLC patient. Therefore, targeted intervention of the metastatic core of CI could offer promising approach for the prevention, prognostic evaluation, and therapy of BM in NSCLC patients for better clinical outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer remains one of the most frequently diagnosed cancers as well as the leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide, in which non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) constitutes 85% cases. Unfortunately, in nearly 20% of NSCLC patients, brain metastasis (BM) is already present at diagnosis, with up to 50% of patients developing BM throughout the disease course. BM in NSCLC complicates the clinical picture and portends a poor prognosis with median survival of 3-7 months. However, risk factors and underlying mechanisms that the most common site of distant metastasis of lung cancer is the brain remain the mystery and have not been well addressed. Thus, to best improve the overall survival (OS) and quality of life for NSCLC patients, it is highly significant to illustrate the clinical risk factors of target BM in NSCLC for prevention strategies and specific therapies.

The tumor metastases are formed by a complex interaction between cancer cells and microenvironment, which is the "seed-soil" hypothesis. The "seed-soil" hypothesis sets forth the concept that a conducive microenvironment, or metastatic core, is necessary for disseminating cancer cells to engraft distant sites. The common functions of metastatic core include anchorage, survival support, protection from external insults, licensing proliferation and outgrowth. Long-term since, much attention has focused on the molecular and genetic factors of cancer cells as "seed" endowed metastatic advantage. Meanwhile, the metastatic core creating a fertile "soil" for cancer cell to lodge and grow has been largely neglected. Therefore, the microenvironment of organs as the risk factors to determine metastatic colonization are particularly important for exploration, which might be most amenable to therapeutic interventions.

A very interesting one appears in several studies which demonstrated that patients with lung cancer were prone to induce cerebral infarction (CI) when compared with non-cancer control. What’s more, CI occurrence could worse patient’s prognosis in advanced or post-operative recurrent NSCLC. These achievements inspire us from the perspective of "soil" to draw attention to the effect of CI as metastatic core on the risk of target-specific BM in NSCLC patients in the study, which may lead to detect therapeutic strategies and prevent metastasis at its earliest inception. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of CI which was originated from cancer emboli on the risk of BM in NSCLC for preventive therapy strategy.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population
We conducted a retrospective study of all newly diagnosed NSCLC patients who were continuously admitted to Sungkyunkwan University Samsung Changwon Hospital from July 2013 to July 2018. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) all patients with histologically confirmed NSCLC, 2) did not receive any surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, molecular targeted therapy, or immunotherapy in other hospitals before admission, 3) for the purpose of detecting distant organ metastasis, all patients accepted brain, chest, abdominal and pelvic imaging, such as ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission computed tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT), 4) complete medical records. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) NSCLC patients with synchronous distant metastases except for brain, 2) patients with NSCLC accompanied by malignant tumors in other parts of the body, 3) patients with CI caused by other pathogenic factors. In total, 307 patients were enrolled in this study.

Data collection
Data collected included age, gender, body mass index (BMI), histological type, and primary tumor size and location. In addition, laboratory tests were also covered, including D-Dimer (D-D) and the tumor markers of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125). The survival time from the onset of BM were evaluated. The follow-up duration lasted until the death or July 31, 2019. OS from diagnosis of BM was evaluated.

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of our hospital approved the study protocol (IRB number: SCMC 2019-02-015). All studies were conducted according to guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki for biomedical research. Informed consent was waived due to its retrospective nature.

The diagnosis of cerebral infarction
The diagnostic criteria of CI refer to Updated Criteria for Population-Based Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack Incidence Studies for the 21st Century, which points out that CI can be diagnosed regardless of the duration of nervous symptoms/ signs when there are neuroimaging findings of responsible ischemic lesion. However, when no evidence of imaging evidence of responsible lesion can be obtained, the duration of symptoms and signs exceeding 24h is still the time limit for diagnosis of ischemic stroke.

Imaging evaluation of BM in NSCLC
The patients had undergone a brain computed tomography (CT) scan. When brain lesion(s) could not be excluded, patients would undergo a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The diagnosis of BM on MRI was determined by two different neuroradiologists (YM Kim and MO Sunwoo) who were blinded to the clin-
cal and pathological findings. Depending on the imaging examination results of CI and BM, NSCLC patients were divided into the BM group and control group (without BM). Then, the prevalence of CI and baseline clinicopathological parameters were evaluated and compared between the two groups.

Statistical analysis
The prevalence of CI in the two groups was compared by the chi square test, other patient characteristics were compared either the chi square test, Fisher’s exact test, or Wilcoxon two-sample test. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed using logistic regression to assess the risk factors for BM. OS was plotted using the Kaplan Meier method. Differences in OS were analyzed using the log-rank test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0 software.

RESULTS

Characteristics of NSCLC patient
A total of 307 NSCLC patients (185 men and 122 women) with a median age of 64 years (range of 25–83 years) were confirmed for the analysis in our study. Among them, 52 patients (16.9%) had BM, and they were then divided into BM group. NSCLC patients without BM were regarded as the control group. In the 52 NSCLC patients with BM, 22 patients (42.3%) underwent a brain CT or MRI without any brain symptom, while other patients took the examination due to some symptoms, such as headache, hemiplegia and psychiatrical disorder. The summary of patients’ characteristics of BM group and control group are shown in Table 1. The result demonstrated that patients with NSCLC are prone to induce CI with the prevalence of 66.45%. Especially, the prevalence of CI in the NSCLC patients with BM was 84.6%, which was significantly higher than that of 62.7% in the NSCLC patients without BM (p = 0.002, χ 2 test). In addition, there were significantly differences in primary tumor location (lobe), histology (type), D-D, CEA, and CA125 between BM group and control group. By contrast, there were no significant differences in age, BMI, sex, and primary tumor size between the two groups Table 1.

Risk factors for BM in NSCLC patient
Following univariate logistic regression analysis, CI, primary tumor location (lobe), histology (type), D-D, CEA, and CA125 were chosen as risk factors for BM in NSCLC. The results illustrated that BM was significantly associated with CI (odds rate [OR], 3.266; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.475-7.231; p = 0.004). Besides, adenocarcinoma (p = 0.011), middle region tumor location (p = 0.005), increased CEA level (p = 0.002), increased CA125 level (p < 0.001) and higher D-D level (p = 0.027) were also linked with increased risks of BM in NSCLC Table 2.

Next, we assessed the significance of CI with respect to BM by

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of NSCLC patients with/without BM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BM (-) (N=255)</th>
<th>BM (+) (N=52)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year) Median (range)</td>
<td>62 (25-83)</td>
<td>62.5 (43-78)</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m² Median (range)</td>
<td>23.18 (16-34)</td>
<td>22.85 (14-30)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, Male (%)</td>
<td>154 (60.4)</td>
<td>31 (59.6)</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor size (cm) Median (range)</td>
<td>3 (0.4-14)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.8-11)</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper lobe (%)</td>
<td>152 (59.6)</td>
<td>26 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower lobe (%)</td>
<td>89 (34.9)</td>
<td>17 (32.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle (%)</td>
<td>14 (5.5)</td>
<td>9 (17.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma (%)</td>
<td>180 (70.6)</td>
<td>46 (88.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous carcinoma (%)</td>
<td>58 (22.7)</td>
<td>5 (9.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (%)</td>
<td>17 (6.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of CI (%)</td>
<td>160 (62.7)</td>
<td>44 (84.6)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-D (ng/ml &gt;500)</td>
<td>182 (0.11-14612)</td>
<td>304.5 (52-7640)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (ng/ml) &gt;5</td>
<td>4.11 (0.1-746.7)</td>
<td>9.675 (1.28-1068)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA125 (U/ml) &gt;35</td>
<td>19.44 (3.1-2846)</td>
<td>49.15 (6.61-796.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BM, brain metastasis; BMI, body mass index; CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, cerebral infarction; D-D, D-Dimer; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.
using the multivariate model according to the factors that are proven to be meaningful in the above univariate analysis. We could find that CI (OR, 3.303; 95% CI, 1.437-7.593; p = 0.005) was a significant independent risk factor for BM in NSCLC Table 3. Besides, adenocarcinoma, middle region tumor location and increased CA125 level also remained as the risk factors for BM, whereas D-D, and tumor markers of CEA was no longer significant.

**Table 3. Multivariate analysis of risk factors associated with BM in NSCLC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral Infarction (Yes vs No)</td>
<td>3.303</td>
<td>1.437-7.593</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location (lobe) (Middle vs Not middle)</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>1.153-8.364</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology (Adenocarcinoma vs others)</td>
<td>3.228</td>
<td>1.257-8.288</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-D (ng/ml) (&gt;500 vs ≤500)</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>0.568-2.605</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (ng/ml) (&gt;5 vs ≤5)</td>
<td>1.842</td>
<td>0.921-3.686</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA125 (U/ml) (&gt;35 vs ≤35)</td>
<td>2.332</td>
<td>1.165-4.668</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BM, brain metastasis; CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; D-D, D-Dimer; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer, OR, odd ratio.

**Effect of cerebral infarction on survival of NSCLC patient with BM**

To assess effect of CI on survival of NSCLC patients with BM, OS was measured and compared according to presence or absence of CI. The OS from diagnosis of BM was significantly shorter in NSCLC patients with CI than that in NSCLC patients with BM without CI (p = 0.024) Fig. 1.

**Dynamically tracing of CI as metastatic core to promote BM of NSCLC**

A typical case with NSCLC who developed BM due to CI was illustrated in Fig. 2. In this case, when diagnosed with lung adenocarcinoma, one 79-year-old male patient underwent radical resection of the upper right lung cancer on November 24, 2015. Regular examination and follow-up were conducted in the outpatient department after the surgery. More than one year, a new patchy ischemic lesion was revealed at the right basal ganglia, which showed iso- or hypo-intense signal on T1 weighted image (T1WI) of mag...
As time went by, the lesion at the same site was significantly larger, which showed low signal on T1WI Fig. 2B when follow-up on November 10, 2017. Combined with the medical history, BM could not be excluded in the patient. Unfortunately, on March 16, 2018, the lesion obviously progressed, which showed an abnormal nodular enhancement lesion Fig. 2C. Thus, BM was confirmed in the NSCLC patient. The dynamic establishment of CI as metastatic core to promote BM of NSCLC was schemed in Fig. 2D. In brief, CI Fig. 2D creates a fertile “soil” for cancer cell as “seed” to lodge Fig. 2E and grow Fig. 2F.

DISCUSSION

Our study indicated that a higher prevalence of BM in NSCLC patients with CI compared to that in those without CI, and CI was a dependent risk factor for BM in patients with NSCLC. Meanwhile, in NSCLC patients with BM, CI contributed to a worse prognosis in NSCLC patients with BM with shorter OS than that in those without CI.

Fig. 1. Overall survival from diagnosis of BM in NSCLC patient. CI, cerebral infarction; BM, brain metastasis; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.

Fig. 2. Dynamically tracing of CI as metastatic cores to promote BM in NSCLC. A, MRI of CI; B, BM could not be excluded in MRI; C, confirmation of BM by MRI; B, the scheme of CI as metastatic core to promote BM in NSCLC. D, CI as a fertile “soil”; E, cancer cell as “seed” to lodge; F, metastatic colonization after proliferation and outgrowth.
CI, cerebral infarction; BM, brain metastasis; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Cancer cells that disseminate from primary tumors are dependent on the microenvironment they encounter at secondary sites which determine their fate. Metastatic core is the "soil" of the specific microenvironment established within the target organ to encourage the outgrowth of incoming the "seed" of cancer cells\(^{(7,23)}\). It is very important to explore which microenvironment as metastatic core for BM in NSCLC due to its significant mortality.

It's remarkable that the rate of cancer incidence is higher among ischemic stroke patients than the general population\(^{(9,31,12,23)}\), and cancer itself may increase a risk of CI occurrence\(^{(10,11,16,30)}\) . Once CI occurs in patients with cancer, neurological outcomes significantly worsen, and prognosis tends to be poor in addition to stroke-associated morbidity/mortality\(^{(5,15)}\) . Among all cancer types, patients with lung cancer have the highest incidence of CI\(^{(4)}\) . Motoyasu et al. reported that development of CI contributed to a worse prognosis in patients with advanced and recurrent NSCLC\(^{(20)}\) . In our study, we found that the incidence of synchronous BM was 16.9% in NSCLC, which was also in line with recent studies\(^{(12,20)}\) . Our study also demonstrated a higher prevalence of BM in patients with CI at 84.6% compared to a prevalence of 62.7% in those without CI. All these findings shed us a light to explore a potential risk of CI to BM in patients with NSCLC.

To date, there have been few studies that focused on the relationship between CI and BM. A case report performed by Nielsen SL, et al.\(^{(19)}\) showed that a patient with adenosquamous carcinoma of cervix developed BM limited to an area of evolving infarction. In another report, Sun YP, et al.\(^{(27)}\) described a case that the patient complicated with CI and subsequent BM sarcoma after the initial cardiac tumor resection, which was confirmed by brain tissue pathology. In our study, we conducted a retrospective study of all newly diagnosed 307 NSCLC patients, and our results demonstrated that CI was a dependent risk factor for BM in patients with NSCLC.

CI accounts for 80% of cerebrovascular diseases\(^{3}\) . The microenvironment of CI as metastatic core thus might be a crucial pillar to explain the specificity that applies to seeding and outgrowth of BM in NSCLC. CI causes the opening of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), active inflammation and edema formation\(^{(16,18)}\) . Under pathological condition of CI, the BBB can be disrupted, followed by the extravasation of blood components into the brain parenchyma. So, metastasizing NSCLC cancer cells could be arrest in brain due to the BBB leakage. Furthermore, after initial cell death in CI, the clearance of debris in the lesion leaves a compartmentalized cavity that can accept a large volume transplant. Inflammation is an important driver of tumor development and metastasis\(^{(24)}\) . The inflammatory response also plays an important role in the pathological process of CI, which is usually accompanied with the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF), interleukin 6 [IL-6], IL-1β, monocyte chemotactic protein 1, macrophage inflammatory protein 1a), microglial activation, and adhesion molecules\(^{(17)}\) . The establishment of an inflammatory microenvironment in CI brain, either prior to or at the same time as the arrival of circulating tumor cells, is helpful in the seeding, survival, and proliferation of tumor cells in the metastatic core. Therefore, the roles of the microenvironment of CI are basically consistent with the common functions of different metastatic cores: anchorage, survival support, protection from external insults, licensing proliferation and outgrowth. In our study, dynamically tracing of CI as metastatic core to promote BM was confirmed in NSCLC patient.

Although our presenting study suggested interesting results of the metastatic core making cerebral infarction and its clinical roles, the major limitation of this study is the absence of histological analysis of the brain lesions. The diagnosis of CI was just performed in the radiological analysis with MRI without histological examination. In fact, we tried to reduce the bias on the diagnosis of CI by the individual 2 radiologists who did not have any information of the patients. In order to give a general practice in the clinic, the further comprehensive study such as randomized clinical trial is essential. Additionally, the precise figures of cancer emboli were not examined scientifically. For example, the circulating tumor cell can be the answer of the question for the cancer emboli as the cause of the cerebral infarction in the NSCLC patients. In the case of acute cerebral infarction, the thrombus which was obtained by the mechanical thrombectomy using endovascular intervention technique can be good method to provide the sample to analysis of the cancer emboli in the NSCLC patients.

Briefly, metastases account for the majority of cancer deaths, and BM are the most lethal complication of NSCLC. Host factors determining metastatic colonization to secondary organs are particularly important for exploration. In our study, the results of the effect of CI on survival in NSCLC patients with BM demonstrated that OS was significantly shorter than that in those without CI, suggesting that CI may contribute to a worse prognosis. Thus, in clinical practice, targeting the metastatic cores of CI may evolve into a promising avenue for therapy of BM in NSCLC, such as certain agent preventing the cancer cells from forming the embolus in the systemic circulations.

**CONCLUSION**

Cerebral infarction could act as metastatic core to promote BM in patients with NSCLC. Therefore, targeted intervention of cerebral infarction could open up new strategies for the prevention,
prognostic evaluation, and therapy of in NSCLC patients with BM.
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